#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF CARPMARIS & RANSFORD THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND Attn. Tunstall, Christopher S THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION 43-45 Bloomsbury Square London WC1A 2RA UNITED KINGDOM TELEVISION COLOURS (PCT Rule 44.1) 8 5 SEP 2005 Date of malling (day/month/year) 05/09/2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below P037874WO International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2005/002128 27/05/2005 Applicant CILAG AG INTERNATIONAL 1. The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WiPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740,14,35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the international Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of 16 months from the priority data, the international application will be published by the international largest if the applicant wheels to swort or optoping published on, a notice or whiterance or in eiternational application, or of the priority datim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Pules 90bis,1 and 90bis,3, respectively, before the completion of the technical proparations for international published on.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Everus will be send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the excitation of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international primary examination must be filled if the applicant twisets to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filled within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Authorized officer

Vera Schertl

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patient Cooperation Trasky, the Regulations and the Administrative instructions under that Treaty, in case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable, For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a published not WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

#### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS LINDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should have however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international prelational procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments to the claims under Article 19 except where, or, of the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another meason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmitted of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time first express later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (fulls 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

# How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consocutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 2050).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

# The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Mhere originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims;
   Calaims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged, claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; or "Claims 1 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14, claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17, new claims 20 and 21 added."

#### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

# The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims. It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the works "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an emendment of that claim.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Puls 62.2(a), fart servience).

#### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be turnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.						
P037874WO International application No.	International filing date (day/mont		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)						
international application No.	international filing date (day/moni	rvyear)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)						
PCT/GB2005/002128	27/05/2005		28/05/2004						
Applicant									
CILAG AG INTERNATIONAL									
This international Search Report has been prepared by this international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 15. A copy is being transmitted to the international Bureau.									
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofsh	eets.							
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document	cited in this	report.						
	international search was carried ou ess otherwise indicated under this i		sis of the international application in the						
The International this Authority (Ru	search was carried out on the basis le 23.1(b)).	of a transl	ation of the international application furnished to						
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed	In the international application, see Box No. I.						
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).								
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).								
4. With regard to the title,									
X the text is approved as si	ibmitted by the applicant.								
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:									
5. With regard to the abstract,									
the text is approved as s	ubmitted by the applicant.								
			ty as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant						
may, within one month to	on the date of mailing of this interna	uuonai sear	ch report, submit comments to this Authority.						
With regard to the drawings,									
a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be	oublished with the abstract is Figure	No1_							
as suggested by	the applicant.								
X as selected by th	is Authority, because the applicant	ailed to suç	ggest a figure.						
as selected by th	is Authority, because this figure bet	er characte	erizes the invention.						
b. none of the figures is to t	e published with the abstract.								

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/002128

Box No. IV Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

The invention concerns an injection device (110) with a housing (112) adapted to receive a syringe (114) having a discharge nozzle (118) and a plunger (130). There is a trigger and a drive (134) arranged to act upon the plunger on actuation of the trigger to advance the syringe from a retracted position to an extended position through an opening (150) in the housing. A looking mechanism (160, 170) is arranged in and moveable relative to the housing. The locking mechanism is engaged with the plunger when the syringe is not in its extended position. This means that movement of the plunger relative to the syringe is prevented when, in use, the syringe is and arteracted position and extended position. Hence the contents of the syringe are not expelled from the syringe during advancement form the retracted position to the extended position. Hence the contents of the syringe are not expelled from the syringe during advancement form the retracted position to the extended position. The contents are only expelled when be syringe reaches the extended position. The

International Application No PCT/GB2005/002128

Relevant to claim No.

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61M5/20

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  $IPC\ 7\ A61M$ 

Category ° Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

1

1	X	WO 92/19296 A (KRAMER, GEORGE, ( 12 November 1992 (1992-11-12) page 8, line 10 - line 31; figum		1-16
1	X	US 6 270 479 B1 (BERGENS THOMAS 7 August 2001 (2001-08-07) abstract; figures	ET AL)	1 .
	Υ	anstract, rigures		2-16
1	Y	FR 2 629 706 A (ACCARIES CLAUDE: PIERRE; TOPRIDES HENRI) 13 October 1989 (1989-10-13) page 7, line 8 - line 20; figure		2–16
1	A	US 3 797 488 A (HURSCHMAN A,US E 19 March 1974 (1974-03-19) abstract; figures	-/	1
ı	χ Furth	ner documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed	n annex.
	"A" docume consider a	int which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cifed to establish the publication date of another no rother special reason (as specified) ent reterring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	17 later document published after the finis or plotify date and not in conflict with sevention.  28 document of particular relevance, the cannot be considered never one cannot be considered never or cannot be considered never or cannot document of particular relevance; the document of particular relevance; the document is considered with one or me ments; saud considered with one or me ments; saud considered he length of the ort.  30 document is considered with one or me ments; saud consideration believed the document or member of the same patient.	the application but soon underlying the statemed invention be considered to be considered to considered to considered to considered invention workly step when the res other such docu- us to a person-skilled
ı	Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international see	rch report
	1	8 August 2005	05/09/2005	
		nailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5616 Patentkaan 2 NI. – 2260 HV Filisvelik Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Ehrsam, F	
	orn PCT/ISA/2	210 (second sheet) (January 2004)		

International Application No PCT/GB2005/002128

C-(Continuation) DOGUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  A US 5 425 715 A (DALLING ET AL) 20 June 1995 (1995-06-20) abstract; figures	ı No.
A US 5 425 715 A (DALLING ET AL) 1 20 June 1995 (1995-06-20)	No.
20 June 1995 (1995-06-20)	
FR 1 538 565 A (ANDRE KASSE) 6 September 1968 (1968-09-06) abstract; figures 1,2	

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/GB2005/002128

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9219296	A	12-11-1992	US	5176643	Δ	05-01-1993
3213230		11 1332	AU	659374		11-05-1995
			AU	1912492		21-12-1992
			AU	2843095		12-10-1995
			CA	2109106		12-11-1992
			EP	0582651		16-02-1994
			JP	6508773		06-10-1994
			WO	9219296		12-11-1992
			US	5451210		19-09-1995
			US	5960797		05-10-1999
			US	5405362		11-04-1995
			US	5868711		09-02-1999
			US	5271744	A	21-12-1993
US 6270479	B1	07-08-2001	US	2001005781	A1	28-06-2001
			AT	285805	T	15-01-2005
			AU	1589300	Α	15-05-2000
			DE	69922992	D1	03-02-2005
			EP	1124601	A1	22-08-2001
			ES	2234321	T3	16-06-2005
			JP	2002528182	Ť	03-09-2002
			WO	0024441		04-05-2000
			TW	445156	В	11-07-2001
FR 2629706		13-10-1989	FR	2629706	A1	13-10-1989
2025700		10 10 1505	AT	73679		15-04-1992
			AU	1793488		21-12-1988
			CA	1315164		30-03-1993
			DE	3869214		23-04-1992
			EP	0294272		07-12-1988
			ĒΡ	0315661		17-05-1989
			ES	2030879		16-11-1992
			WO	8809189		01-12-1988
			GR	3004690		28-04-1993
			JP	6022637	В	30-03-1994
			JP	1503367	T T	16-11-1989
			US	5049125		17-09-1991
US 3797488	Α	19-03-1974	NONE			
US 5425715		20-06-1995	AT	211005	т	15-01-2002
			CA	2168670		16-02-1995
			DE	69429520		31-01-2002
			DE	69429520		16-05-2002
			DK	712316		15-04-2002
			EP	0712316		22-05-1996
			ΪĹ	110558		30-09-1997
			WO	9504562		16-02-1995

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1)  Date of mailing (daystnorth/year) see form PCT/SA2:10 (second sheet)					
see form PCT/ISA/220  Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220							
							FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
			International application No. International fili PCT/GB2005/002128 27.05.2005		International filing date (27.05.2005	day/month/year)	Pricrity date (day/month/year) 28.05.2004
International Patent Clas	ssification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC				
A61M5/20							
A61M5/20 Applicant CILAG AG INTERN	IATIONAL	Andrew Control of the					
Applicant CILAG AG INTERN		ons relating to the foll	lowing items:				
Applicant CILAG AG INTERN		•	lowing items:				
Applicant CILAG AG INTERN  1. This opinion o	ontains indicati	•	lowing items:				
Applicant CILAG AG INTERN  1. This opinion o	ontains indicati Basis of the op Priority	pinion		iive step and industrial applicability			
Applicant CILAG AG INTERN  1. This opinion o  Box No. I  Box No. II	ontains indicati Basis of the op Priority	pinion ment of opinion with reg		ive step and industrial applicability			
Applicant CILAG AG INTERN  1. This opinion o  Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III	ontains indicati Basis of the operation	pinion ment of opinion with reg of invention	ard to novelty, invent	o novelty, inventive step or industrial			
Applicant CILAG AG INTERN  1. This opinion o  Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV	ontains indicati Basis of the operation	ninion  ment of opinion with reg if invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation	ard to novelty, invent	o novelty, inventive step or industrial			
Applicant CILAG AG INTERN  1. This opinion o  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. VI  Box No. VI  Box No. VI  Box No. VI	ontains indicati Basis of the operiority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned sapplicability; of Certain docun Certain defect	ninion  ment of opinion with reg if invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation	ard to novelty, invent s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such sta olication	o novelty, inventive step or industrial			

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the explication of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT4SAZ20 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever explies later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Ehrsam, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2343



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1.

2.

3.

4. Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/GB2005/002128

		egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	laı	ils opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Pulue 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
Wi ne	th re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. i	form	at of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
C. 1	ime	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	ba co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as proportate, were furnished.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/002128

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,							
⊠	claims Nos. 17 (written as 16)							
bec	cause:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
⊠	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. see point VIII							
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid C of the Administrative Instruction	d sec	quence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex n that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleoti not comply with the technical red	ide a quire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further details							

	Box No. IV	/ Lack of unity of in	ventio	n						_
1.	□ In resp	conse to the invitation	(Form F	PCT/ISA/20	6) to pay ac	ditional fe	es, the app	olicant has:		
		paid additional fees.								
		paid additional fees u	ınd <b>e</b> r pı	rotest.						
		not paid additional fe	es.							
2.	☐ This A the ap	uthority found that the plicant to pay addition	require al fe <b>e</b> s.	ment of ur	ity of invent	ion is not (	complied w	rith and cho	ose not to invite	
3.	This Autho	rity considers that the	require	ment of un	ity of inventi	ion in acco	ordance wit	h Rule 13.1	1, 13.2 and 13.3	is
	⊠ complie	ed with								
	□ not com	plied with for the follow	ving rea	asons:						
4.	Conseque	ntly, this report has bee	en <b>e</b> stal	olished in r	espect of th	e following	parts of the	ne internati	onal application	:
	□ all parts	<b>3</b> .								
	the part	s relating to claims No	s. 1-16							
	Box No. V industrial	Reasoned stateme applicability; citation	ent und	ler Rule 40 explanation	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) ns support	with regar	rd to nove statement	lty, inventi	ive step or	_
1.	Statement									
	Novelty (N	)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-16					
	Inventive s	itep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-16					
	Industrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-16					
2.	Citations a	nd explanations								

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/002128

### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the claims of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

- Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: WO 92/19296 A (KRAMER, GEORGE, C) 12 November 1992 (1992-11-12)
- D2: US-B1-6 270 479 (BERGENS THOMAS ET AL) 7 August 2001 (2001-08-07)
- D3: FR-A-2 629 706 (ACCARIES CLAUDE; IBIS PIERRE; TOPRIDES HENRI) 13
  October 1989 (1989-10-13)
- D4: US-A-3 797 488 (HURSCHMAN A,US ET AL) 19 March 1974 (1974-03-19)
- D5: US-A-5 425 715 (DALLING ET AL) 20 June 1995 (1995-06-20)
- D6: FR-A-1 538 565 (ANDRE KASSE) 6 September 1968 (1968-09-06)

#### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses all the features of claim 1-16, in particular the fact to block the piston and the syringe together before the needle extends the housing and only after this position of the needle, the piston will be able to advance and inject the medical fluid, see in particular page 8 lines 10 to 31 and figures 1-5. The same objection applies to both documents D2 and D6, see in particular the respective figures and the abstract.

### 2.2 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-16

Dependent claims 2-16 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT), see in particular the documents D3-D5 the figures ant the abstract.

#### Re Item VII.

 The description should have been brought into conformity with the new claims to be filed; care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion including any statements of problem or advantage, not to add subject-matter which

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/002128

extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed (Art. 34 2) b)).

- To meet the requirements of Rules 6 3 b) the independent claim should have been properly cast in a two part form, with those features which in combination are part of the nearest prior art being placed in the first part.
- To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1 a vi, the cited documents should have been identified in the description and the relevant background art therein is to be indicated.
- The features of the claims should have been provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

## Re Item VIII.

Claim 17, which is written as a second claim 16 lacks clarity since it makes reference to the different figures. Such a claim should be deleted to overcome the clarity objection.